## Strict Inequalities for the n-crossing Number





Nicholas Hagedorn

Princeton High School

March 2023





- The study of mathematical knots and how to distinguish one knot from another.
- Has applications in post-quantum encryption algorithms. See "Quantum money from knots" (Shor et al.)
- Knots also have applications in biology. DNA reproduction requires enzymes called topoisomerases to unknot the two DNA strands formed by replication, transcription, and recombination; certain chemotherapy drugs work by halting this untangling process, which requires knowing what transformations untie certain knots.
- Knots are also applicable to synthetic chemistry. Bonding the same atoms in the shape of different knots creates completely different substances, often with unique properties.

- Formally, a knot is an embedding of  $S^1$  into  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Two knots are equivalent if and only if there exists a continuous deformation from one knot to the other.
- Informally, we can think of knots as a rope: knot the rope, glue the ends of the rope together, and then make the rope infinitely thin.

Pictured here is the figure-eight knot:



### The Crossing Number

- Each of these 2D representations of a knot is a knot projection.
- Every point in the projection where multiple strands intersect is called a crossing.
- We can define the crossing number of knot K, denoted as c(K), as the minimum # of crossings in a projection of the knot.
- If two knots having different crossing numbers, they must be the same knot. The two diagrams below are both projections of the same knot.



# Knots Classified by c(K)



We can tabulate knots based on their crossing number. However, note that there are multiple knots K with c(K) = x for all  $x \ge 5$ .

c(K) distinguishes some knots, but not all. Are there other ways to distinguish them? Yes!  $c_n(K)$  for n = 3, 4, 5, ... gives infinitely many. Note:  $c_2(K) = c(K)$ .



- A standard crossing in a knot diagram is when one strand passes over another.
- An n-crossing projection is a knot diagram where at each crossing n strands intersect, with each strand bisecting the crossing.
- Every knot has an *n*-crossing projection for all  $n \ge 2$ . One can then define  $c_n(K)$  as the smallest number of crossings an *n*-crossing projection of K can contain.

### *n*-crossing diagrams and $c_n(K)$

c(K) distinguishes some knots, but not all. Are there other ways to distinguish them? Yes!  $c_n(K)$  for n = 3, 4, 5, ... gives infinitely many. Note:  $c_2(K) = c(K)$ .



The figure shows a 3-crossing projection of the figure-eight knot with only two crossings. In fact,  $c_3(4_1) = 2$ . A green strand is the bottom strand and a red strand is the upper strand.

A D > A D >

## Inequalities for the n-crossing number

Computing  $c_n(K)$  is very difficult. We need to find relationships between the *n*-crossing numbers to aid their computation. A few have been found:

- $c_{n+2}(K) \le c_n(K)$
- $c_3(K) \le c_2(K) 1$  (for non-trivial knot K) Colin Adams (Williams College), 2013
- $c_4(K) \le c_2(K) 1$  (for non-trivial knot K) Michael Landry (Washington University), 2014
- $c_5(K) \leq c_3(K) 1$  (for non-trivial knot K) Colin Adams (Williams College), Jim Hoste (Pitzer College), Martin Palmer (Universität Bonn), 2019
- My Theorem:  $c_9(K) \le c_3(K) 2$  (for knot K that is not the trivial, trefoil, or figure-eight knot) (Hagedorn, 2023)

Please refer to my research paper for the proof of my theorem.

- The  $c_9(K) \leq c_3(K) 2$  inequality is the fifth known inequality between *n*-crossing numbers and is the first known inequality with an *n*-crossing number for n > 5.
- A major conjecture in the study of *n*-crossing diagrams states the following:  $c_m(K) \leq c_n(K)$  for all  $m \geq n$ . My work provides further evidence in support of the conjecture.
- Using my results, I found the 9-crossing number for six knots whose 9-crossing number was previously unknown.
- My inequality cannot be improved as there exist knots K such that  $c_3(K) = c_9(K) 2$ . For example, the  $c_9(5_1) = c_3(5_1) 2$  and  $c_9(6_2) = c_3(6_2) 2$ .